# SPLINE FINITE MEMBER ELEMENT METHOD FOR VIBRATION OF THIN-W ALLED MEMBERS WITH SHEAR LAG 

Q.-F. WANG<br>Dept. of Civil Engineering, National Huaqiao University, Quanzhou 362011, Fujian, People's Republic of China

(Received 26 January 1996, and in final form 11 April 1997)


#### Abstract

In this paper, the outlined model is extended to cover the dynamics of thin-walled members with open or closed cross-section, making use of Hamilton's principle. Based on the displacement variational principle, a systematic method, called the spline finite member element method, is developed for vibration analysis of thin-walled members with arbitrary cross-section. The displacements at two ends of the member element are adopted as basic variables in the method. A transformed $\mathrm{B}_{3}$-spline function is used to simulate the warping displacements along the cross-section of the thin-walled member. The analysis takes into account the effect of shearing strains of the middle surface of walls on the vibration, which reflect the shear lag phenomenon. To verify the accuracy and efficient of the proposed method, four experiments are conducted in which the present results are compared with those using other analytical methods and the "COSMOS/M" finite element analysis program. © 1997 Academic Press Limited


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Many structures, such as a shear wall core or a frame-shear wall structure, are typically idealized as thin-walled members that exhibit significant out-of-plane warping due to torsion. Urgent practical requirements have given rise in recent years to extensive investigations, both theoretical and experimental, of the dynamic analysis of the thin-walled structural members. Some closed form solutions for small amplitude vibrations of such members were published by Vlasov [1]. Useful explicit formulae to determine the lowest natural flexural and torsional frequencies for thin-walled members with open and/or closed cross-section can also be found in other publications [2-4]. However, these methods cannot evince the shear lag effect in their results. When the structure exhibits a considerable flexural stiffness, as in the shear wall core of a building, shear strains play an important role even in the presence of lower frequencies. The shear lag phenomenon was first recognized by Timoshenko, who introduced two distinct functions, i.e., the deflection of the centroid of the cross-section and the rotation of the normal to the cross-section through the centroid [5]. In Timoshenko's theory the warping of the cross-section, proportional to the shear resultant, can vary along the member axis without giving rise to additional stresses (shear lag). By giving an alternative definition of the two unknown functions introduced by Timoshenko, Cowper proposed a more appropriate way of determining the shear coefficient $[6,7]$. However, these solutions were often restricted to particular cross-section shapes and are generally difficult to apply. Friberg [8] solved Vlasov's equations [1] analytically and formed the exact dynamic stiffness matrix without the assumption of cross-sectional symmetry of the member. Among the previous methods for
dynamic analysis, the finite element method is widely used for vibration and buckling analyses of structures, including thin-walled structures [9, 10]. However, to obtain an accurate solution in the analysis, a large number of elements are required, resulting in a heavy consumption of CPU time and data preparation and manipulation efforts. Therefore, its application to practical design for a complex thin-walled structure is greatly limited. On the other hand, some deficiencies in the classical theory [1] have yet to be solved or improved. For instance, in the theory there are two different approaches for analyzing thin-walled members with an open cross-section and those with a closed cross-section; i.e., Vlasov's theory for the former and Umansky's theory for the latter. In engineering practice, a lot of thin-walled members have mixed cross-sections composed of pure open walls and closed cells. Therefore, it is of practical significance to develop a general consistent method for dynamic analysis of thin-walled members with any cross-section. Four typical numerical examples are used to demonstrate the versatility, accuracy and convergency of the proposed method. It can be observed clearly in the results that the present method may be a type of systematic method which can be applied to the dynamic analysis of thin-walled members of any cross-section with branches of walls, and which can save much more computing time than the standard finite element analysis program.

In this paper, only one of two Vlasov' assumptions, i.e. the "rigid cross-section" is retained, while the condition of no shearing strain in the middle surface of walls is abandoned. Also, another common assumption is adopted, i.e., the stress $\sigma_{s}$ in the tangent direction and the stress $\sigma_{n}$ in the normal direction of the central line of the cross-section are much smaller than the longitudinal stress $\sigma_{z}$ and both are neglected in the dynamic analysis.

## 2. ENERGY EQUATION OF THIN-WALLED MEMBER

A member element of a prismatic thin-walled member with arbitrary cross-section is shown in Figure 1, in which the $z$-axis is the longitudinal axis, the $x$ - and $y$-axes are the principal axes passing through the centroid $c$ of the cross-section; $s$ is the curvilinear co-ordinate along the central line of the cross-section; and $H$ is the longitudinal length of the member element.

The Hamilton principle in variation form for free vibration can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}(T-U) \mathrm{d} t=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. An arbitrary cross-section of a thin-walled member and its parameters.
in which $U$ is the possible strain energy induced in vibration; $T$ is the corresponding possible kinetic energy. The integral interval can be chosen as

$$
t_{1}=0, \quad t_{2}=2 \pi / \omega,
$$

in which $\omega$ is the circular frequency of vibration.
The possible strain energy [11] and the possible kinetic energy in a general member element of length $H$ can be given by the expression

$$
\begin{gather*}
U=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{H}\left\{\int_{\Sigma s}\left[E\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right)^{2} t_{0}+G\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial v_{t}}{\partial z}\right)^{2} t\right] \mathrm{d} s+G J_{d}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right)^{2}\right\} \mathrm{d} z ;  \tag{2}\\
T=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{H} \int_{\Sigma_{s}} \rho_{0}\left[\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\right)^{2} t_{0}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{x}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} t+\left(\frac{\partial v_{v}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} t\right] \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} z, \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

in which $w(s, z)$ is the longitudinal displacement along the $z$ direction; $v_{t}$ is the displacement along the tangent direction of the central line at point $s ; \theta$ is the twisting angle of the cross-section; $v_{x}$ and $v_{y}$ are the displacements of any point of the cross-section in the $x$ and $y$ directions respectively; $E$ is Young's modulus; $G$ is the shear modulus; $J_{d}$ is the St. Venant's torsional constant; $\rho_{0}$ is the density of material of the member; $\Sigma s$ is the length of the whole cross-section; $t_{0}$ is the actual thickness of the wall at point $s$; and $t$ is the equivalent thickness of the wall when there is a row of holes in the wall.
According to the "rigid cross-section" assumption [1], the tangent displacement can be expressed by the centroid displacement

$$
v_{t}(z)=v_{c x} \cos \alpha+v_{c y} \sin \alpha+\rho \theta,
$$

in which $\alpha$ is the angle between the $x$-axis and the tangent of point $s ; \rho(s)$ is the distance from $c$ to the tangent of point $s$; and $v_{c x}$ and $v_{c y}$ are the $x$ and $y$ direction components of the centroid displacement $v_{c}$ respectively. It can be written in matrix form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t}(z)=\left[\eta_{t}\right]_{1 \times 3}\left\{v_{c}\right\}_{3 \times 1}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\eta_{t}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\cos \alpha & \sin \alpha & \rho
\end{array}\right],} \\
\left\{v_{c}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
v_{c x} & v_{c y} & \theta
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. LONGITUDINAL WARPING DISPLACEMENT FUNCTION

The ordinary cubic $\mathrm{B}_{3}$-spline function, because of its localized nature, not only reduces computational effort, but also allows different boundary conditions to be specified by slightly modifying a few local spline functions. Owing to the complexity of the cross-section of thin-walled members, especially for the cross-section with branches of walls, it is difficult to use the ordinary $\mathrm{B}_{3}$-spline function properly to simulate the longitudinal displacement at the bifurcate point of the walls. Therefore, a new modified $\mathrm{B}_{3}$-spline function is needed to overcome this defect. By the separation of variables and semi-discrete technique, the longitudinal warping displacement $w(s, z)$ is taken as the summation of $(m+3)$ local $\mathrm{B}_{3}$-splines by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(s, z)=\sum_{i=1}^{m+3} \alpha_{i}(z) \psi_{i}(s), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. The sub-intervals of a segment.
in which $\alpha_{i}$ is the node generalized displacement parameters; $m$ is the number of equal sub-intervals of a segment of the cross-section as shown in Figure 2, and $\psi_{i}$ is an ordinary local $\mathrm{B}_{3}$-spline as shown in Figure 3, defined by

$$
\psi_{i}(s)=\frac{1}{6 h^{3}}\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\left(s-s_{i}+2 h\right)^{3} & {\left[s_{i-2}, s_{i-1}\right],}  \tag{7}\\
\left(s-s_{i}+2 h\right)^{3}-4\left(s-s_{i}+h\right)^{3} & {\left[s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right],} \\
\left(s_{i}+2 h-s\right)^{3}-4\left(s_{i}+h-s\right)^{3} & {\left[s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right],} \\
\left(s_{i}+2 h-s\right)^{3} & {\left[s_{i+1}, s_{i+2}\right],}
\end{array}\right.
$$

in which $s_{i}$ is the node co-ordinate; $h=s_{i+1}-s_{i}$, and $h$ is its equal section length.
Let $w_{j}$ be the real node displacement in the segment, i.e.,

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{1}=\frac{\partial w\left(s_{2}, z\right)}{\partial s}, \\
w_{2}=w\left(s_{2}, z\right), \\
\cdots \\
w_{i}=w\left(s_{i}, z\right), \\
\cdots \\
w_{m+2}=w\left(s_{m+2}, z\right)  \tag{8}\\
\cdot \\
w_{m+3}=\frac{\partial w\left(s_{m+2}, z\right)}{\partial s}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 3. The ordinary local $\mathrm{B}_{3}$-spline of equal section length.
in which $s_{2}$ and $s_{m+2}$ are the co-ordinates of the beginning and the end nodes in the segment respectively. Because of the localization of the spline function, equation (6) can be expressed by $m$ interval functions as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{s j}(s, z)=\sum_{i=j-2}^{j+1} \alpha_{i}(z) \psi_{i}(s), \quad s_{j-1} \leqslant s \leqslant s_{j}, \quad j=3,4,5, \ldots, m+2 ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations (7), (8) and (9), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{1}=\frac{1}{2 h}\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1}\right), \\
\cdots \\
w_{i}=\frac{1}{6}\left(\alpha_{i-1}+4 \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i+1}\right), \quad i=2,3, \ldots, m+2,  \tag{10}\\
w_{m+3}=\frac{1}{2 h}\left(\alpha_{m+3}-\alpha_{m+1}\right) ;
\end{gather*}
$$

Solving the above simultaneous equations using the package "Maple V " [12], the node general displacement parameters $\alpha_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m+3)$ can be expressed by real node displacements $w_{i}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{m+3} b_{i k} w_{k}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m+3, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $b_{i k}$ are the coefficients computed by means of the package "Maple V".
Substituting the above equation into equation (9) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{s j}(s, z) & =\sum_{k=1}^{m+3}\left[\sum_{i=j-2}^{j+1} b_{i k} \psi_{i}(s)\right] w_{k}(z) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{m+3} \bar{\psi}_{j k}(s) w_{k}(z), \quad s_{j-1} \leqslant s \leqslant s_{j}, \quad j=3,4, \ldots, m+2, \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

in which

$$
\bar{\psi}_{j k}(s)=\sum_{i=j-2}^{j+1} b_{i k} \psi_{i}(s), \quad s_{j-1} \leqslant s \leqslant s_{j}, \quad j=3,4, \ldots, m+2
$$

is called the "transformed spline function" and is constructed from four ordinary local $\mathrm{B}_{3}$-spline functions $\psi(s)$. Because the parameters of the transformed spline function in every segment, including the four of the two ends, are the real node displacement parameters, this new simulation technique will overcome the difficulty of the ordinary spline function in simulating the cross-section with branches of walls. Finally, the longitudinal warping displacement $w(s, z)$ of the whole cross section can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(s, z)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\psi}_{i}(s) w_{i}(z)=\left[\bar{\psi}_{1 \times n}\{w\}_{n \times 1},\right. \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $n$ denotes the total number of nodes which divided the whole cross-section into sub-intervals, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\bar{\psi}]_{1 \times n} } & =\left[\bar{\psi}_{1}(s), \bar{\psi}_{2}(s), \ldots, \bar{\psi}_{n}(s)\right] \\
\{w\}_{n \times 1} & =\left[w_{1}(z), w_{2}(z), \ldots, w_{n}(z)\right]^{\mathrm{T}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. DYNAMIC EQUATIONS OF THIN-WALLED MEMBER

As to free vibration at a natural frequency of the thin-walled member, the motion at any point is simple, harmonic, and the deflected shapes are independent of time. Equations (4) and (13) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{t}(z, t) & =\bar{v}_{t}(z) \sin (\omega t+\varepsilon)=\left[\eta_{t}\right]_{1 \times 3}\left\{\bar{v}_{c}\right\}_{3 \times 1} \sin (\omega t+\varepsilon),  \tag{14}\\
w(s, z, t) & =\bar{w}(s, z) \sin (\omega t+\varepsilon)=[\bar{\psi}]_{1 \times n}\{\bar{w}\}_{n \times 1} \sin (\omega t+\varepsilon), \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $v_{t}(z)$ and $w(s, z)$ are the mode shape functions and $\varepsilon$ is the phase angle.
Provided that the $x$ - and $y$-axes are the principal axes passing through the centroid $c$ of a cross-section, $v_{x}$ and $v_{y}$ are related to $v_{c x}, v_{c y}$ and $\theta$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{x}(y, z, t)=\left(v_{c x}-y \theta\right) \sin (\omega t+\varepsilon) \\
& v_{y}(x, z, t)=\left(v_{c y}+x \theta\right) \sin (\omega t+\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting equations (14) and (15) into equations (2) and (3) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
U= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{H}\left(E\left\{w^{\prime}\right\}^{\mathrm{T}}[A]\left\{w^{\prime}\right\}+G\{w\}^{\mathrm{T}}[B]\{w\}+2 G\{w\}^{\mathrm{T}}[C]\left\{v_{c}^{\prime}\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+G\left\{v_{c}^{\prime}\right\}^{\mathrm{T}}\left[D_{t}\right]\left\{v_{c}^{\prime}\right\}+G\left\{\theta^{\prime}\right\}^{\mathrm{T}} J_{d}\left\{\theta^{\prime}\right\}\right) \mathrm{d} z,  \tag{16}\\
& T=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{H} \rho_{0} \omega^{2}\left(\{w\}^{\mathrm{T}}[A]\{w\}+\left\{v_{c}\right\}^{\mathrm{T}}[\bar{D}]\left\{v_{c}\right\}\right) \mathrm{d} z, \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

in which the superscript prime represents d()$/ \mathrm{d} z$; and

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[A]_{n \times n}=\int_{\Sigma s}[\bar{\psi}]^{\mathrm{T}}[\bar{\psi}] t \mathrm{~d} s, \quad[B]_{n \times n}=\int_{\Sigma s}\left[\bar{\psi}^{\prime}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\bar{\psi}^{\prime}\right] t \mathrm{~d} s,} \\
{[C]_{n \times 3}=\int_{\Sigma s}\left[\bar{\psi}^{\prime}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\eta_{t}\right] t \mathrm{~d} s, \quad\left[D_{t}\right]_{3 \times 3}=\int_{\Sigma s}\left[\eta_{t}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\eta_{t}\right] t \mathrm{~d} s,} \\
{[\bar{D}]_{3 \times 3}=\int_{\Sigma s}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -y \\
0 & 1 & x \\
-y & x & x^{2}+y^{2}
\end{array}\right] t \mathrm{~d} s=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{x}+I_{y}
\end{array}\right],}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $A$ is the area of cross-section of the thin-walled member; and $I_{x}$ and $I_{y}$ are the second moments of inertia of the cross-section with respect to the principal axes $x$ and $y$ respectively.

From Hamilton's principle, taking the first variation of the functional with respect to $\{w\}$ and $\left\{v_{c}\right\}$ in equation (1), and using some standard techniques such as integration by
parts in the derivation, we have the following differential equations and boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
E[A]_{n \times n}\left\{w^{\prime \prime}\right\}-G[B]_{n \times n}\{w\}_{n \times 1}-G[C]_{n \times 3}\left\{v_{c}^{\prime}\right\}_{3 \times 1}+\rho_{0} w^{2}[A]_{n \times n}\{w\}_{n \times 1}=\{0\}_{n \times 1},  \tag{18}\\
G[C]_{3 \times n}^{\mathrm{T}}\left\{w^{\prime}\right\}_{n \times 1}+G[D]_{3 \times 3}\left\{v_{c}^{\prime \prime}\right\}_{3 \times 1}+\rho_{0} \omega^{2}[\bar{D}]_{3 \times 3}\left\{v_{c}\right\}_{3 \times 1}=\{0\}_{3 \times 1} ; \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

on the boundaries

$$
\begin{gather*}
E[A]_{n \times n}\left\{w^{\prime}\right\}_{n \times 1}=\{0\}_{n \times 1}  \tag{20}\\
{[C]_{3 \times n}^{\mathrm{T}}\{w\}_{n \times 1}+[D]_{3 \times 3}\left\{v_{c}^{\prime}\right\}_{3 \times 1}=\{0\}_{3 \times 1}} \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

in which the double prime superscript (") represents $\mathrm{d}^{2}() / \mathrm{d} z^{2}$, and

$$
[D]_{3 \times 3}=\left[D_{t}\right]_{3 \times 3}+J_{d}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & & \\
& 0 & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Equations (18) and (19) are the governing differential equations for the dynamic analysis of a thin-walled member.

## 5. SOLUTION OF THE DYNAMIC EQUATIONS

The main differences between the present method and the finite element method can be grouped under two aspects. (1) From the "rigid cross-section" assumption, the lateral displacements at one end of the member element are only three, and the number of longitudinal displacements are $n$, where $n$ is the number of nodes artificially chosen by which the cross-section is divided in suitable sub-intervals to meet accuracy requirements of analysis for different complexities of the cross-section. The degree of freedom in a member element is then equal to $2 n+6$, and $n$ is not fixed, but depends on the complexity of the cross-section. (2) In the present method, only the longitudinal warping displacements along the cross-section need be interpolated; their distribution along the longitudinal direction can be obtained analytically and will be discussed in this section. Instead of the ordinary interpolation function used in the finite element method, a special interpolation function called the "transformed spline function" described in the previous section, is used in the present method. In matrix form, the end displacements of the member element are expressed by a vector $\left\{W_{E}\right\}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{W_{E}\right\}_{(2 n+6) \times 1}=\left[v_{1 x}, v_{1 y}, \theta_{1}, w_{11}, w_{12}, \ldots, w_{1 n}, v_{2 x}, v_{2 y}, \theta_{2}, w_{21}, w_{22}, \ldots, w_{2 n}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the first subscript 1 or 2 denotes the first $(z=0)$ or second $(z=H)$ end cross-section; $v_{1 x}$ denotes the lateral displacement of the cross-section in the $x$ direction at the first end; $w_{11}$ denotes the longitudinal displacement of the first node at the first end, etc. Note that $n$ is an arbitrary chosen number of nodes by which the cross-section is divided into sub-intervals to fulfil the accuracy requirements of analysis for different shapes of cross-sections. By this means, the versatility of the method is increased.

Equations (18) and (19) can be written as a standard eigenvalue problem of finite element dynamic equation form, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left([K]+\omega^{2}[M]\right)_{(2 n+6) \times(2 n+6)}\left\{W_{E}\right\}_{(2 n+6) \times 1}=\{0\}_{(2 n+1) \times 1}, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $[M]$ is referred to as the non-linear general mass matrix and can be derived from possible kinetic energy $T ;[K]$ is the linear strain stiffness matrix.

From reference [13], the displacement vectors of the member element can be found in terms of the end displacements of the member element:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{c}  \tag{24}\\
w
\end{array}\right\}_{\{n+3\} \times 1}=[N]_{\{n+3\} \times\{2 n+6\}}\left\{W_{E}\right\}_{\{2 n+6\} \times 1},
$$

in which

$$
[N]_{\{n+3\} \times\{2 n+6\}}=\binom{\left[T_{v}(z)\right]_{3 \times\{2 n+6\}}}{[a]_{n \times n}\left[T_{w}(z)\right]_{n \times\{2 n+6\}}}\left[T_{E}\right]_{\{2 n+6\} \times\{2 n+6\}}^{-1}
$$

where $[N]$ is a matrix of the interpolation functions,

$$
[a]_{n \times n}=\left[\left\{a_{1}\right\},\left\{a_{2}\right\}, \ldots\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right]
$$

in which $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ is an eigenvector;

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[T_{v}(z)\right]_{3 \times\{2 n+6\}}=} & {\left[-[D]_{3 \times 3}^{-1}[C]_{3 \times n}^{\mathrm{T}}[a]_{n \times n} \int_{0}^{z}\left[R_{0}\right]_{n \times n} \mathrm{~d} z[a]_{n \times n}^{\mathrm{T}}[C]_{n \times 3}+z \frac{G}{E}[I]_{3 \times 3},[I]_{3 \times 3},\right.} \\
& \left.-[D]_{3 \times 3}^{-1}[C]_{3 \times n}^{\mathrm{T}}[a]_{n \times n} \int_{0}^{z}[R]_{n \times 2 n} \mathrm{~d} z\right], \\
{\left[T_{w}(z)\right]_{n \times\{2 n+6\}}=} & {\left[\left[R_{0}\right]_{n \times n}[a]_{n \times n}^{\mathrm{T}}[C]_{n \times 3},[0]_{n \times 3},[R]_{n \times 2 n}\right], } \\
{\left[T_{E}\right]_{\{2 n+6\} \times\{2 n+6\}}=} & {\left[\begin{array}{cc}
{[a]_{n \times n}\left[T_{w}(0)\right]_{n \times\{2 n+6\}}} \\
{\left[T_{v}(H)\right]_{3 \times\{2 n+6\}}} \\
{[a]_{n \times n}\left[T_{w}(H)\right]_{n \times\{2 n+6\}}}
\end{array}\right], } \\
{\left[R_{0}\right]_{n \times n}=} & {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{z^{2}}{2} & \\
\frac{z^{2}}{2} & \\
0 & -\frac{1}{\Lambda_{4}} \frac{E}{G}
\end{array}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta=\frac{z}{H}, \quad \xi=1-\eta, \quad \lambda_{i}=H \sqrt{\frac{G}{E} \Lambda_{i}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n}$ are the eigenvalues of the eigenvalue equation.
Following the standard procedures of the finite element method, the spline member element's stiffness matrix [ $K$ ] can be obtained.
Substituting equation (24) into equation (17) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.T=\frac{1}{2} \omega^{2}\left\{W_{E}\right\}\right\}_{1 \times\{2 n+6 ;}^{\mathrm{T}}[M]_{\{2 n+6\} \times\{2 n+6\}}\left\{W_{E}\right\}_{\{2 n+6\} \times 1}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{align*}
{[M]_{\{2 n+6\} \times\{2 n+6\}}=} & \left(\left[T_{E}\right]^{-1}\right)_{\{2 n+6\} \times\{2 n+6\}}^{\mathrm{T}} \int_{0}^{H} \rho_{0}\left(\left[T_{v}(z)\right]_{\{2 n+6\} \times 3}^{\mathrm{T}}[\bar{D}]_{3 \times 3}\left[T_{v}(z)\right]_{3 \times\{2 n+6\}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[T_{w}\right]_{\{2 n+6\} \times n}^{\mathrm{T}}[a]_{n \times n}^{\mathrm{T}}[a]_{n \times n}\left[T_{w}\right]_{n \times\{2 n+6\}}\right) \mathrm{d} z\left[T_{E}\right]_{\{2 n+6\} \times\{2 n+6\}}^{-1} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

## 6. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

Cantilever thin-walled members with four shapes of cross-sections, as shown in Figure 4, are studied by the proposed method for vibration analysis. In the presentation of the results, the characteristics of the mode shapes are simply described by labels, in which BX and BY denote the bending mode in the $x$ and $y$ directions respectively, while BA denotes the axial vibration in the $z$ direction and BT denotes the torsion mode around the $z$-axis.

Example 1. The thin-walled member with a channel-shaped cross-section as shown in Figure 4(a) is discussed for vibration analysis. The related data are as follows: $E=3.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$; the Poisson ratio, $v=0.15 ; t=0.2 \mathrm{~m} ; \rho_{0}=2500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$; the total height of the member $H_{t}=15.0 \mathrm{~m}$. In the example, the numerical solution in the present paper is compared with the available solution by the "COSMOS/M" finite element analysis program [15] and another analytical method [14] for the same member, as shown in Table 1. Comparing the present results with those of Capuani ones, good agreement can be seen for the thin-walled members except for the sixth frequency. From the table it can be observed that the results obtained by the present method and COSMOS/M have some differences, but they are all under $8.0 \%$. In addition, the spline finite member element method has several advantages over the COSMOS finite element analysis program when applied to thin-walled structures. These include the greatly reduced number of degrees of freedom for the given structural system amounting to only 680 degrees of freedom. In the same example, a considerably larger number of degrees of freedom is required in the finite element analysis compared with the present method. The total system data of the COSMOS program are as follows: number of equations $=1860$; number of matrix


Figure 4. The cross-section of the thin-walled members.
elements $=521714$; mean half-bandwidth $=1803$; number of elements $=900$; number of nodal points $=341$, resulting in a heavy consumption of CPU time and data preparation and manipulation efforts.

Example 2. The thin-walled member with a rectangle-shaped cross-section shown in Figure $4(\mathrm{~b})$ is analyzed for the dynamic analysis. The useful data are as follows: $E=3.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} ; E / G=2.3 ; t=0.4 \mathrm{~m} ; \rho_{0}=2500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} ; H_{t}=15 \mathrm{~m}$. This example has been investigated in reference [16]. The first eight natural frequencies are compared with the method mentioned above and the available solution by the "COSMOS/M" finite element analysis program [15] in Table 2.

The comparison of the results in Table 2 shows that the proposed method is efficient and accurate for thin-walled closed members.

Table 1
Comparisons of the results of Example 1

|  | Capuani et al. $[14]$ | COSMOS [15] <br> mesh, $30 \times 10$ | Present <br> method | Mode shape |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| Mode no. | 22.9 | 25.9611 | 24.0518 | BY |
| 1 | - | 92.8102 | 97.9921 | BX, BA |
| 2 | 110.3 | 121.2504 | 113.8121 | BY |
| 3 | 125.4 | 128.5741 | 132.9215 | BY, BA, BT |
| 4 | 247.0 | 277.0317 | 247.5250 | BY |
| 5 | 364.8 | 364.6105 | 354.5467 | BY |
| 6 | 392.9 | 366.5328 | 400.6028 | BY |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2
Comparisons of the results of Example 2

| Mode no. | Laudiero et al. [16] | COSMOS [15] <br> mesh, $36 \times 10$ | Present <br> method | Mode shape |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $61 \cdot 10$ | $61 \cdot 7288$ | $63 \cdot 8695$ | BY |
| 2 | - | $100 \cdot 7278$ | $106 \cdot 2159$ | BX, BA |
| 3 | $189 \cdot 0$ | $188 \cdot 2396$ | 187.2816 | BT |
| 4 | $244 \cdot 1$ | $245 \cdot 1885$ | $252 \cdot 1227$ | BY |
| 5 | - | $406 \cdot 2652$ | $401 \cdot 6215$ | BX, BA |
| 6 | $515 \cdot 6$ | 513.9982 | $521 \cdot 9535$ | BY |
| 7 | $568 \cdot 9$ | $561 \cdot 9905$ | $564 \cdot 5677$ | BT |
| 8 | $760 \cdot 3$ | $752 \cdot 3274$ | $786 \cdot 8634$ | BY |

Table 3
Comparisons of the results of Example 3

| Mode no. | Capuani et al. [14] | ODE solver [17] | Present method | Mode shape |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $57 \cdot 7$ | 57.99 | $60 \cdot 7692$ | BT |
| 2 | - | $59 \cdot 34$ | $61 \cdot 9695$ | BY |
| 3 | - | $76 \cdot 11$ | $80 \cdot 8645$ | BX, BA |
| 4 | - | $214 \cdot 1$ | $221 \cdot 7987$ | BY |
| 5 | $250 \cdot 7$ | $251 \cdot 3$ | $263 \cdot 8864$ | BT, BA |
| 6 | - | $362 \cdot 8$ | $361 \cdot 0387$ | BX, BA |
| 7 | - | $435 \cdot 0$ | $443 \cdot 8796$ | BY |
| 8 | $540 \cdot 5$ | $545 \cdot 0$ | $556 \cdot 6316$ | BY, BA |

Example 3. An open I-shaped cross-section as shown in Figure 4(c), which was originally Capuani's example [14], is studied for vibration analysis. The first eight circular frequencies from various methods are tabulated in Table 3. The data are as follows: $E=3.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} ; E / G=2 \cdot 3 ; t=0.2 \mathrm{~m} ; \rho_{0}=2500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} ; H_{t}=15 \mathrm{~m}$.

From Table 3 it can be seen that the results given by the proposed method and ODE solver are close to each other.

Example 4. A cross-section composed of a rectangular box section with two outstanding flanges, as shown in Figure 4(d), is investigated for vibration analysis. The data are as follows: $E=3.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} ; E / G=2 \cdot 3 ; t=0.2 \mathrm{~m} ; \rho_{0}=2500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} ; H_{t}=15 \mathrm{~m}$. The numerical solution in the present paper is compared with the available solution by using ODE solver [17] and another analytical method [14] for the same member, as shown in Table 4.

Table 4
Comparisons of the results of Example 4

| Mode no. | Capuani et al. [14] | ODE solver [17] | Present method | Mode shape |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 47.3 | 51.76 | 53.9611 | BY, BT |
| 2 | - | 84.52 | 89.6907 | BX |
| 3 | $130 \cdot 4$ | 127.4 | 131.6682 | BY, BT |
| 4 | $170 \cdot 8$ | 203.5 | 208.9655 | BY, BT |
| 5 | 294.0 | 338.1 | 365.9100 | BX, BA |
| 6 | - | 362.8 | 393.4237 | BY, BT |
| 7 | 421.7 | 421.6 | 448.7700 | BY, BA, BT |
| 8 | - | 607.9 | 610.2427 | BY, BT |

Table 5
Effect of number of section nodes, $n$, on the natural frequency

| Mode no. | $n=9$ | $n=14$ | $n=15$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $24 \cdot 052496$ | $24 \cdot 051927$ | $24 \cdot 051849$ |
| 2 | $97 \cdot 994656$ | $97 \cdot 992214$ | 97.992123 |
| 3 | $113 \cdot 910684$ | $113 \cdot 873514$ | $113 \cdot 873514$ |
| 4 | $132 \cdot 927734$ | $132 \cdot 920993$ | $132 \cdot 921512$ |
| 5 | $249 \cdot 808318$ | $248 \cdot 965643$ | $247 \cdot 525003$ |
| 6 | $366 \cdot 885542$ | $362 \cdot 551934$ | $354 \cdot 546709$ |
| 7 | $400 \cdot 661146$ | $400 \cdot 605999$ | $400 \cdot 602763$ |

From Table 4 it can be seen that the results given by the proposed method and ODE solver are close to each other. Compared with Capuani's method, some greater differences can be observed.

## 7. CONVERGENCE STUDIES

An important part of an eigenvalue and vector solution is to estimate the accuracy with which the required eigensystem has been calculated. The solution is terminated once convergence within the prescribed tolerances has been obtained. Because the transformed $\mathrm{B}_{3}$-spline function is taken as the interpolation function of longitudinal displacement of the cross-section, example 1 in the present paper is used to investigate the rate of convergence of the natural frequency of the thin-walled members using the proposed method with increasing numbers of section nodes, as shown in Table 5.

It can be seen from the above table that the numerical results can converge very rapidly to stable results. The convergence of the results fully demonstrates the efficiency of the transformed $\mathrm{B}_{3}$-spline function. In Table 6 is shown the effect of the number of member elements along the longitudinal direction on the natural frequencies in which the data come from example 4 . Table 6 demonstrates the convergence of the solution for the cantilever thin-walled member. From the convergence study in that section of the paper, the accuracy of the solution is determined not only by the number of segment of the cross section and of its sub-intervals, but also by the number of member elements into which a member is divided along the longitudinal direction.

Table 6
Effect of number of member elements, $e$, on natural frequencies

| Mode no. | $e=18$ | $e=19$ | $e=20$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $54 \cdot 166589$ | 54.058419 | 53.961078 |
| 2 | 90.069106 | 89.869749 | 89.690674 |
| 3 | 131.901823 | 131.778134 | 131.668236 |
| 4 | $209 \cdot 124943$ | $209 \cdot 044644$ | $208 \cdot 965535$ |
| 5 | $366 \cdot 107382$ | $366 \cdot 015002$ | $365 \cdot 909990$ |
| 6 | 394.072896 | 393.771889 | 393.423697 |
| 7 | 448.781120 | 448.778784 | 448.781120 |
| 8 | 611.875245 | 611.117729 | 610.242744 |

## 8. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the energy method, a systematic method, called the spline finite member element method, is developed for dynamic analysis of thin-walled members with arbitrary cross-section in the present paper. According to the above discussions, the following conclusions can be drawn:
(a) The proposed method has an advantage over methods based on the classical theory [1] because the effect of the shearing strains of the middle surface of walls, which reflect the shear lag phenomenon, on the vibration is considered.
(b) The transformed $\mathrm{B}_{3}$-spline function is used to simulate the warping displacement along the cross-section of the thin-walled member. By using the spline function as an interpolation function, a cross-section will be divided into equal or unequal segments to meet some special need. This arrangement greatly improves the flexibility of the transformed spline function. Because the parameters of the transformed spline function in every segment are the real node displacement parameters, this overcomes the difficulty of the ordinary spline function in simulating the longitudinal displacements of cross section with branches of walls.
(c) Because there is no need to introduce the concepts of shear center and sectorial co-ordinate, this method is easily understood and applied. It can be used to analyze the closed cross-section member as conveniently as the open cross-section without extra labor.
(d) Compared with the results from the "COSMOS/M" finite element analysis program and other analytical methods, the numerical examples proposed in this paper demonstrate the versatility, accuracy and efficiency of the proposed method.
(e) The convergence shown in the above numerical examples predicts the reliability of the results.
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